# MARICOPA COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Bureau of Internal Oversight Audits and Inspections Unit



January 2024 Traffic Stop Data Inspection Inspection Report# BI2024-0001

### **Background:**

The Audits and Inspections Unit (AIU) of the Bureau of Internal Oversight (BIO) inspects Traffic Stop Data collected in the TraCS system on a monthly basis. The purpose for the inspection is to ensure compliance with Office policies and to promote proper supervision. A total of 35 traffic stops are selected each month for review. To achieve inspection results the inspector will utilize the TraCS system, JWI, I-Net Viewer, Body-Worn Camera video, (www.Evidence.com), and Communication Recordings. These entries will be uniformly inspected utilizing a matrix developed by the Bureau of Internal Oversight. Additionally, a JWI inquiry will be made on all deputies involved in the traffic stop, if needed, to determine if a license and/or warrants check was run during the stop.

#### **Matrix Procedures:**

Utilize the *Traffic Stop Data Matrix* to inspect and ensure each traffic stop reviewed is within compliance with Office policies. Each Matrix Inspection Element is listed below in the results section of this report.

#### Criteria:

- ➤ MCSO Policy EA-3, Non-Traffic Contacts
- ➤ MCSO Policy EA-11, Arrest Procedures
- MCSO Policy EB-1, Traffic Enforcement, Violator Contracts, and Citation Issuance
- ➤ MCSO Policy EB-2, Traffic Stop Data Collection
- MCSO Policy EB-11, Vehicle Impound 3511
- ➤ MCSO Policy GE-3, Property Management and Evidence Control
- MCSO Policy GJ-3, Search and Seizure
- MCSO Policy GJ-35, Body Worn Cameras


### **Conditions:**

The MCSO reviewed 35 randomly selected traffic stops made during the month of January 2024. Additionally, 10 of the 35 were selected for Body-Worn Camera review.

#### **Results:**

Each Traffic Stop will be counted as one inspection and all applicable matrix criteria inspection points will factor into an over-all compliance score. Example: If one Traffic Stop contains 34 inspection points (4 not applicable) and 2 deficiencies it will count as one Traffic Stop Inspection with a compliance score of 94.00%. The over-all compliance score for the monthly inspection will be the average score of the 35 stops reviewed.

The compliance rates of the provided traffic stop sample, utilizing the 34-inspection criterion, resulted in an overall average compliance rate of **99.70%** for January of 2024, as illustrated in the graph below.



Matrix Inspection Elements	Not in Compliance	In Compliance	Total	Compliance Rate
Event number matches all forms	0	35	35	100%
Incident report number matches on all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
Event type matches all forms and CAD	0	35	35	100%
Arrest documented in CAD and matches all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
Arrest time documented in CAD and matches all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
Transport time of arrestee matches CAD and all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
Primary unit BWC active at time of stop per VSCF	0	35	35	100%

Primary unit vehicle number and call sign match CAD		35	35	100%
2 <sup>nd</sup> person in primary unit matches CAD and all applicable forms		35	35	100%
2 <sup>nd</sup> person in primary unit has active BWC and is documented in all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
Additional units on scene match CAD and all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
Location of traffic stop match CAD and all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
City/Area of violation and stop location match CAD and all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
Conclusion of contact (i.e. warning, citation, incidental contact form) match CAD disposition and all applicable forms	1	34	35	97%
Reason no action was taken matches CAD and documented as appropriate	0	35	35	100%
Citation/Warning number matches VSCF	0	35	35	100%
Violators license plate matches CAD/VSCF/Citation/Warning		35	35	100%
Number of occupants in violators vehicle is accurately documented on VSCF	0	35	35	100%
Contact with passengers made and reasoning for contact documented on VSCF	0	35	35	100%
Reason for stop documented in CAD and all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
Was reason for stop documented on citation/warning	0	35	35	100%
Does violators information on citation/warning match VSCF	0	35	35	100%
Was immigration status questioned of violator or passengers	0	35	35	100%
Does pre stop and post stop race/ethnicity information match citation/warning and VSCF	0	35	35	100%
Was search conducted of violator or vehicle and documented on VSCF and all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%

Were items seized as a result of the contact and documented on VSCF and all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
Was the stop extended and if so documented in CAD and VSCF and all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
Was violator arrested and documented on VSCF and all applicable forms	1	34	35	97%
Was incidental contact form completed when appropriate	1	34	35	97%
Verify video was available		10	10	100%
Determine if deputy recorded the traffic stop in its entirety	0	10	10	100%
Verify all information on traffic stop data forms match Body-Worn Camera video	0	10	10	100%
Determine if camera was activated when decision to make the stop was made	0	10	10	100%
Review incident video footage to ensure deputies adhere to all MCSO Policies	0	10	10	100%
Verify all forms are complete	0	35	35	100%

The inspector found the following potential deficiencies during the inspection:

## **District 1 (2 BIO Action Forms):**

# **Compliance Deficiencies**

District/Division	Incident Number	Responsible Employee	Current Supervisor	Current Commander
District 1	Redacted	Deputy	Sergeant	Captain
Deficiency				

<sup>1.</sup> Call was cleared "7- Civil Citation-No IR" but should have been cleared "7P- Civil Citation \*Property Impounded-IR Generated" due to the violator was provide a civil citation and the license plate of the violator's vehicle was seized, requiring an IR be drafted. (Policy GI-1.3.B)

District/Division	Incident Number	Responsible Employee	Current Supervisor	Current Commander	
District 1	Redacted	Deputy	Sergeant	Captain	
Deficiency					

<sup>1. &</sup>quot;No" was documented for "Arrest" on VSCF but violator was provided a criminal citation. (Policy EB-2.2.E.3) Note: Responsible deputy was field training a new deputy on Phase 1 FTO.

## **District 2 (1 BIO Action Form):**

## **Compliance Deficiency**

District/Division	Incident Number	Responsible Employee	Current Supervisor	Current Commander	
District 2	Redacted	Sergeant	Lieutenant	Captain	
Deficiency					

<sup>1.</sup> Violator was not provided a warning, citation, or Incidental Contract Form. (Policy EB-1.12)

Unless noted above in the deficiency table, there were no prior BIO Action Forms similar in nature for the perceived employee deficiencies. A total of 3 BIO Action Forms are due from the deficiencies detailed above. One BIO form per Employee or deficient Bureau is requested (not per deficiency).

Date Inspection Started: February 7<sup>th</sup>, 2024

Date Completed: February 15<sup>th</sup>, 2024

Timeframe Inspected: January 1<sup>st</sup>, 2024 to January 31<sup>st</sup>, 2024

Assigned Inspector(s): Sgt. R. T. Bierwalter S1263

I have reviewed this inspection report.

Lt. Brian Arthur S1806

3/5/2024

Lieutenant T. Brian Arthur S1806

Date

Commander, Audits and Inspections Unit

Bureau of Internal Oversight