MARICOPA COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Bureau of Internal Oversight Audits and Inspections Unit



Sep. 2023 Misconduct Investigations Inspection Report Inspection # BI2023-0160

The Bureau of Internal Oversight's (BIO) Audits and Inspections Unit (AIU) will conduct Misconduct Investigations inspections monthly. The purpose of the inspection is to ensure compliance with Office policies and to promote proper supervision. To achieve this, inspectors will select for review all Misconduct Investigations that were initiated after November 1, 2017, and completed during the month being analyzed. To ensure consistent inspections, the *Misconduct Investigation Matrix* developed by the AIU will be utilized.

Compliance Objectives:

The compliance objectives for this inspection are contained within each of the included tables.

Criteria:

MCSO Policy GC-4, Detention, Civilian Employee Performance Appraisals

MCSO Policy GC-4(S), Sworn Employee Performance Appraisals & Management

MCSO Policy GC-12, Hiring, and Promotional Procedures

MCSO Policy GC-17, Employee Disciplinary Procedures

MCSO Policy GH-2, Internal Investigations¹

MCSO Policy GH-4, Bureau of Internal Oversight

MCSO Policy GI-4, Calls for Service

Conditions:

A review of the IAPro records revealed that a total of 71 administrative misconduct investigations were started on or after November 1, 2017, and were closed during the month of September 2023. A list of these investigations was provided to the Monitor team. A randomly selected proportionate sample, consisting of 10 investigations, was provided to the AIU for inspection. Of the sample provided for inspection, 3 investigations were completed by *Sworn Supervisors* assigned to the Divisions/Districts, 1 investigation was completed by *Sworn Supervisors* assigned to the Professional Standards Bureau (PSB), 5 investigations were completed by *Detention Supervisors* assigned to the PSB, and 1 investigation was completed by *Civilian Investigators* assigned to the PSB.

Inspection results for the 3 Misconduct Investigation conducted by Sworn Supervisors at the Division/District

| Compliance Objectives | Total Inspected | In Compliance | Not in Compliance | Compliance Rate |
|--|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Determine if complaint notification procedures were followed. | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100% |
| Verify complaint was assigned a unique identifier. | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100% |
| Verify investigation assignment protocols were followed, such as serious or criminal misconduct being investigated outside of the Professional Standards Bureau. | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100% |
| Verify deadlines were met. | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100% |
| Verify investigator who conducted the investigation received required misconduct investigation training. | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100% |

¹ Due to changes in AZ state law and the addition of the 3rd supplemental court order, conflicting inspection criteria will be removed from this inspection until the approved policy revisions are published.

| Determine if an investigation was conducted by an employee with a history of multiple sustained misconduct allegations, or one sustained allegation of a Category 6 offense from the MCSO's disciplinary matrices. | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100% |
|--|----|----|---|------|
| Determine if an investigation was conducted by an employee who was named as a principal or witness in any investigation of the underlying incident. | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100% |
| Determine if an investigation was conducted of a superior Officer within the internal affairs investigators' chain of command. | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100% |
| Determine if interviews were audio and video recorded. | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100% |
| Determine if the investigative report was reviewed by the appropriate personnel. | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100% |
| Determine if an employee was promoted or received a salary increase while named as a principal in an ongoing misconduct investigation absent the required written justification. | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100% |
| Determine if a final finding was reached on a misconduct allegation. | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100% |
| Determine if an employee's disciplinary history was documented. | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100% |
| Determine if an explanation was provided for any discipline imposed inconsistently with the disciplinary matrix. | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100% |
| Overall Compliance for Misconduct Investigations conducted at the Division/District | 42 | 42 | 0 | 100% |

Inspection results for the 1 Misconduct Investigation conducted by <u>Sworn Supervisors at the PSB</u>

| Compliance Objectives | Total Inspected | In Compliance | Not in Compliance | Compliance Rate |
|--|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Determine if complaint notification procedures were followed. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| Verify complaint was assigned a unique identifier. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| Verify investigation assignment protocols were followed, such as serious or criminal misconduct being investigated outside of the Professional Standards Bureau. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| Verify deadlines were met. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| Verify investigator who conducted the investigation received required misconduct investigation training | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| Determine if an investigation was conducted by an employee with a history of multiple sustained misconduct allegations, or one sustained allegation of a Category 6 offense from the MCSO's disciplinary matrices. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |

| Determine if an investigation was conducted by an | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
|--|----|----|-----|-------|
| employee who was named as a principal or witness in any | | | | |
| investigation of the underlying incident. | | | | |
| Determine if an investigation was conducted of a superior | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| Officer within the internal affairs investigators' chain of | _ | _ | Ü | 10070 |
| command. | | | | |
| Determine if interviews were audio and video recorded. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| | _ | _ | | 10070 |
| Determine if the investigative report was reviewed by the | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| appropriate personnel. | | | - | |
| Determine if an employee was promoted or received a | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| salary increase while named as a principal in an ongoing | | | | |
| misconduct investigation absent the required written | | | | |
| justification. | | | | |
| Determine if a final finding was reached on a misconduct | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| allegation. | _ | _ | · · | 10070 |
| Determine if an employee's disciplinary history was | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| documented . | _ | _ | Ü | 10070 |
| Determine if an explanation was provided for any discipline | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| imposed inconsistently with the disciplinary matrix. | _ | _ | Ŭ | 100/0 |
| Overall Compliance for Misconduct Investigations | 14 | 14 | 0 | 100% |
| conducted by the Sworn Personnel at the PSB | 14 | 14 | J | 100% |
| , and the second | | | | |

Inspection results for the 5 Misconduct Investigations conducted by <u>Detention Supervisors at the PSB</u>.

| Compliance Objectives | Total Inspected | In Compliance | Not in Compliance | Compliance Rate |
|--|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Determine if complaint notification procedures were followed. | 5 | 5 | 0 | 100% |
| Verify complaint was assigned a unique identifier. | 5 | 5 | 0 | 100% |
| Verify investigation assignment protocols were followed, such as serious or criminal misconduct being investigated outside of the Professional Standards Bureau. | 5 | 5 | 0 | 100% |
| Verify deadlines were met. | 5 | 5 | 0 | 100% |
| Verify investigator who conducted the investigation received required misconduct investigation training. | 5 | 5 | 0 | 100% |
| Determine if an investigation was conducted by an employee with a history of multiple sustained misconduct allegations, or one sustained allegation of a Category 6 offense from the MCSO's disciplinary matrices. | 5 | 5 | 0 | 100% |
| Determine if an investigation was conducted by an employee who was named as a principal or witness in any investigation of the underlying incident. | 5 | 5 | 0 | 100% |
| Determine if an investigation was conducted of a superior Officer within the internal affairs investigators' chain of command. | 5 | 5 | 0 | 100% |

| Determine if interviews were audio and video recorded. | 5 | 5 | 0 | 100% |
|--|----|----|---|------|
| Determine if the investigative report was reviewed by the appropriate personnel. | 5 | 5 | 0 | 100% |
| Determine if an employee was promoted or received a salary increase while named as a principal in an ongoing misconduct investigation absent the required written justification. | 5 | 5 | 0 | 100% |
| Determine if a final finding was reached on a misconduct allegation. | 5 | 5 | 0 | 100% |
| Determine if an employee's disciplinary history was documented. | 5 | 5 | 0 | 100% |
| Determine if an explanation was provided for any discipline imposed inconsistently with the disciplinary matrix. | 5 | 5 | 0 | 100% |
| Overall Compliance for Misconduct Investigations conducted by Detention Personnel at the PSB | 70 | 70 | 0 | 100% |

Inspection results for the 1 Misconduct Investigation conducted by <u>Civilian Investigators at the PSB</u>


| Compliance Objectives | Total Inspected | In Compliance | Not in Compliance | Compliance Rate |
|--|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Determine if complaint notification procedures were followed. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| Verify complaint was assigned a unique identifier. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| Verify investigation assignment protocols were followed, such as serious or criminal misconduct being investigated outside of the Professional Standards Bureau. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| Verify deadlines were met. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| Verify investigator who conducted the investigation received required misconduct investigation training. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| Determine if an investigation was conducted by an employee with a history of multiple sustained misconduct allegations, or one sustained allegation of a Category 6 offense from the MCSO's disciplinary matrices. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| Determine if an investigation was conducted by an employee who was named as a principal or witness in any investigation of the underlying incident. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| Determine if an investigation was conducted of a superior Officer within the internal affairs investigators' chain of command. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| Determine if interviews were audio and video recorded. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| Determine if the investigative report was reviewed by the appropriate personnel. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |

| Determine if an employee was promoted or received a salary increase while named as a principal in an ongoing misconduct investigation absent the required written justification. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
|--|----|----|---|------|
| Determine if a final finding was reached on a misconduct allegation. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| Determine if an employee's disciplinary history was documented. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| Determine if an explanation was provided for any discipline imposed inconsistently with the disciplinary matrix. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100% |
| Overall Compliance for Misconduct Investigations conducted by the Sworn Personnel at the PSB | 14 | 14 | 0 | 100% |


Compliance for September 2023:

| Compliance Rate by Identified Personnel | Compliance Rate |
|--|-----------------|
| Sworn Personnel at the Division/District Level | 100% |
| Sworn Personnel at the Professional Standards Bureau | 100% |
| Detention Personnel at the Professional Standards Bureau | 100% |
| Civilian Investigators at the Professional Standards Bureau | N/A |
| Overall Compliance for the September Misconduct Investigations | 100% |


Below is the historical comparison of compliance for Misconduct Investigations conducted by sworn supervisors at the Districts/Divisions:




Below is the historical comparison of compliance for Misconduct Investigations conducted by sworn personnel at the Professional Standards Bureau:




Below is the historical comparison of compliance for Misconduct Investigations conducted by detention personnel at the Professional Standards Bureau:



Below is the historical comparison of compliance for Misconduct Investigations conducted by civilian investigators at the Professional Standards Bureau:



Below is the historical comparison of compliance for the Misconduct Investigations inspected by the MCSO:



Inspection BI2023-0160 resulted in 100% compliance with no BIO Action Form requested.

Date

Notes:

Supporting documentation (working papers) is included in the inspection file number *BI2023-0160* and contained within IA Pro.

Date Inspection Started: October 23, 2023

Date Completed: November 6, 2023

Timeframe Inspected: September 1 to September 30, 2023

Assigned Inspector: Sr. Auditor M. Rodriguez A9047

I have reviewed this inspection report.

Lt. Brian Arthur 5/806 11/28/23

Lt T. Brian Arthur S1806

Commander, Audits and Inspections Unit Bureau of Internal Oversight