

# MARICOPA COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Bureau of Internal Oversight  
Audits and Inspections Unit



**June 2024 Traffic Stop Data Inspection**

Inspection Report# BI2024-0075

**Background:**

The Audits and Inspections Unit (AIU) of the Bureau of Internal Oversight (BIO) inspects Traffic Stop Data collected in the TraCS system on a monthly basis. The purpose for the inspection is to ensure compliance with Office policies and to promote proper supervision. A total of 35 traffic stops are selected each month for review. To achieve inspection results the inspector will utilize the TraCS system, JWI, I-Net Viewer, Body-Worn Camera video, ([www.Evidence.com](http://www.Evidence.com)), and Communication Recordings. These entries will be uniformly inspected utilizing a matrix developed by the Bureau of Internal Oversight. Additionally, a JWI inquiry will be made on all deputies involved in the traffic stop, if needed, to determine if a license and/or warrants check was run during the stop.

**Matrix Procedures:**

Utilize the Traffic Stop Data Matrix to inspect and ensure each traffic stop reviewed is within compliance with Office policies. Each Matrix Inspection Element is listed below in the results section of this report.

**Criteria:**

- MCSO Policy EA-3, Non-Traffic Contacts
- MCSO Policy EA-11, Arrest Procedures
- MCSO Policy EB-1, Traffic Enforcement, Violator Contracts, and Citation Issuance
- MCSO Policy EB-2, Traffic Stop Data Collection
- MCSO Policy EB-11, Vehicle Impound 3511
- MCSO Policy GE-3, Property Management and Evidence Control
- MCSO Policy GJ-3, Search and Seizure
- MCSO Policy GJ-35, Body Worn Cameras


**Conditions:**

The MCSO reviewed 35 randomly selected traffic stops made during the month of June 2024. Additionally, 10 of the 35 were selected for Body-Worn Camera review.

**Results:**

Each Traffic Stop will be counted as one inspection and all applicable matrix criteria inspection points will factor into an overall compliance score. Example: If one Traffic Stop contains 34 inspection points (4 not applicable) and 2 deficiencies it will count as one Traffic Stop Inspection with a compliance score of 94.00%. The overall compliance score for the monthly inspection will be the average score of the 35 stops reviewed.

The compliance rates of the provided traffic stop sample, utilizing the 34-inspection criterion, resulted in an overall average compliance rate of 99.50% for June of 2024, as illustrated in the graph below.



Matrix Inspection Elements	Not in Compliance	In Compliance	Total	Compliance Rate
Event number matches all forms	0	35	35	100%
Incident report number matches on all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
Event type matches all forms and CAD	0	35	35	100%
Arrest documented in CAD and matches all applicable forms	1	34	35	97%
Arrest time documented in CAD and matches all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
Transport time of arrestee matches CAD and all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
Primary unit BWC active at time of stop per VSCF	0	35	35	100%

Primary unit vehicle number and call sign match CAD	0	35	35	100%
2 <sup>nd</sup> person in primary unit matches CAD and all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
2 <sup>nd</sup> person in primary unit has active BWC and is documented in all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
Additional units on scene match CAD and all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
Location of traffic stop match CAD and all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
City/Area of violation and stop location match CAD and all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
Conclusion of contact (i.e. warning, citation, incidental contact form) match CAD disposition and all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
Reason no action was taken matches CAD and documented as appropriate	0	35	35	100%
Citation/Warning number matches VSCF	0	35	35	100%
Violators license plate matches CAD/VSCF/Citation/Warning	1	34	35	97%
Number of occupants in violators vehicle is accurately documented on VSCF	1	34	35	97%
Contact with passengers made and reasoning for contact documented on VSCF	1	34	35	97%
Reason for stop documented in CAD and all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
Was reason for stop documented on citation/warning	0	35	35	100%
Does violators information on citation/warning match VSCF	0	35	35	100%
Was immigration status questioned of violator or passengers	0	35	35	100%
Does pre stop and post stop race/ethnicity information match citation/warning and VSCF	0	35	35	100%
Was search conducted of violator or vehicle and documented on VSCF and all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%

Were items seized as a result of the contact and documented on VSCF and all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
Was the stop extended and if so documented in CAD and VSCF and all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
Was violator arrested and documented on VSCF and all applicable forms	0	35	35	100%
Was incidental contact form completed when appropriate	0	35	35	100%
Verify video was available	0	10	10	100%
Determine if deputy recorded the traffic stop in its entirety	0	10	10	100%
Verify all information on traffic stop data forms match Body-Worn Camera video	0	10	10	100%
Determine if camera was activated when decision to make the stop was made	1	9	10	90%
Review incident video footage to ensure deputies adhere to all MCSO Policies	0	10	10	100%
Verify all forms are complete	0	35	35	100%

The inspector found the following potential deficiencies during the inspection:

**District 1 (2 BIO Action Forms):**

**Compliance Deficiencies**

District/Division	Incident Number	Date	Responsible Employee	Current Supervisor	Current Commander
District 1	Redacted	06/29/24	Deputy	Sergeant	Captain
<b>Deficiency</b>					
1. "No" was documented for "Arrest" on VSCF but violator was provided a criminal citation. (Policy EB-2.2.E.3) **Employee has one (1) previous BAF for Traffic Stop Data Inspection (BAF2024-0001)**					

District/Division	Incident Number	Date	Responsible Employee	Current Supervisor	Current Commander
District 1	Redacted	06/01/24	Deputy	Sergeant	Captain
<b>Deficiency</b>					
1. 1 occupant is documented on VSCF but BWC indicates 2 occupants in the vehicle. (Policy EB-2.2.A.2) <b>Note:</b> Deputy can be heard on BWC asking violator if it is just her and her baby in the vehicle. **Employee has one (1) previous BAF for Traffic Stop Data Inspection (BAF2023-0110)**					

**District 2 (1 BIO Action Form):**

**Compliance Deficiency**

District/Division	Incident Number	Date	Responsible Employee	Current Supervisor	Current Commander
District 2	Redacted	06/24/24	Deputy	Sergeant	Captain
<b>Deficiency</b>					
1. Violators license plate on VSCF & Warning do not match CAD. (Policy EB-1.14.A.5) 2. Self-introduction was not provided at initial contact with violator. (Policy EB-1.10.A)					

**Lakes (3 BIO Action Forms):**

**Compliance Deficiencies**

District/Division	Incident Number	Date	Responsible Employee	Current Supervisor	Current Commander
Lakes	Redacted	06/03/24	Deputy	Sergeant	Captain
<b>Deficiency</b>					
1. BWC was not placed in event mode as soon as the decision to stop the vehicle was made. (Policy EB-1.9.A) <b>Note:</b> BWC was activated as deputy exited his patrol vehicle.					

District/Division	Incident Number	Date	Responsible Employee	Current Supervisor	Current Commander
Lakes	Redacted	06/14/24	Deputy	Sergeant	Captain
<b>Deficiency</b>					
1. The deputy asked investigatory questions and did not provide an ICF to the passenger. (EB-1.12.C.2) 2. Self-introduction was not provided at initial contact with violator. (Policy EB-1.10.A) **Employee has one (1) previous BAF for Traffic Stop Data Inspection (BAF2024-0027)**					

### Non-Compliance Deficiency

District/Division	Incident Number	Date	Responsible Employee	Current Supervisor	Current Commander
Lakes	Redacted	06/07/24	Deputy	Sergeant	Captain
<b>Deficiency</b>					
3. Self-introduction was not provided at initial contact with violator. (Policy EB-1.10.A)					

**Unless noted above in the deficiency table, there were no prior BIO Action Forms similar in nature for the perceived employee deficiencies. A total of 6 BIO Action Forms are due from the deficiencies detailed above. One BIO form per Employee or deficient Bureau is requested (not per deficiency).**

Date Inspection Started: July 9<sup>th</sup>, 2024  
 Date Completed: July 11<sup>th</sup>, 2024  
 Timeframe Inspected: June 1<sup>st</sup>, 2024 to June 30<sup>th</sup>, 2024  
 Assigned Inspector(s): Sgt. R. T. Bierwalter S1263

I have reviewed this inspection report.

Lt. Brian Arthur S1806 8/8/2024  
 Lieutenant T. Brian Arthur S1806 Date  
 Commander, Audits and Inspections Unit  
 Bureau of Internal Oversight